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# Almost 55 Million, or One-Third of the Nation's Voters, Will Face New Voting Equipment in 2006 Election 

## 84 Million Voters Now Reside in Jurisdictions Using Optical Scan Equipment. 66 Million Are in Jurisdictions Using Electronic (DRE) Equipment.

Nearly one-third of the nation's registered voters will face new voting equipment this November, compared to the November 2004 election two years ago. Since the turbulent presidential election of 2000 and the resulting enactment of the Help America Vote Act (HAVA), jurisdictions with $63 \%$ of the nation's registered voters have changed their voting systems, marking the largest shift in voting equipment in this nation's history.

These are just two of the findings of a study released today by Election Data Services Inc., a Washington, D.C.-based consulting firm that specializes in election administration and redistricting issues. President Kimball Brace noted that the nearly 30-year old company had been keeping track of voting equipment usage since 1980. The study focused on voting equipment used by county election jurisdictions nationwide. Other findings showed:

- Voting system changes this year were dominated by smaller jurisdictions, where resources to help the conversion are more limited.
- Fifty-six percent (56\%) of the counties, with $48.9 \%$ of the nation’s registered voters, will be using Optical Scan equipment.
- Thirty-six percent (36\%) of the counties, with $38.4 \%$ of the registered voters, will be using Electronic equipment.
- Early registration data from the primary elections and later shows a decline of nearly 4.3 million voters (a $2.5 \%$ drop) from the 2004 general election.
- Caution on use of absentee ballots: History has shown that more errors occur don't have a chance to correct the ballot.

Despite efforts to replace Lever and Punch Card voting equipment by the 2006 elections, some 12 million registered voters reside in counties that still use Lever machines or Punch Card ballots. The study also found that almost half of all registered voters (84 million voters, or 48.9\%) now reside in jurisdictions that use Optically Scanned paper ballots. This occurs in nearly $56.2 \%$ of the nation's counties, indicating smaller jurisdictions lean towards the use of Optical Scan equipment. Thirty-eight percent (38.4\%) of voters ( 65.9 million) are in jurisdictions that use direct recording Electronic (DRE) voting equipment. Lever machines account for some 6.8\% of registered voters; Punch Cards and hand-counted Papers ballots, for about 0.4\%.
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Voting Equipment for November 2006 Election

| Type of Voting Equipment | Counties |  | Registered Voters |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Punch Cards | 13 | 0.42 | 414,027 | 0.24 |
| Lever Machines | 62 | 1.99 | $11,627,385$ | 6.83 |
| Hand-Counted Paper Ballots | 57 | 1.83 | 330,912 | 0.19 |
| Optically Scanned Paper Ballots | 1,752 | 56.19 | $84,026,447$ | 48.94 |
| Electronic (DRE) Equipment | 1,142 | 36.63 | $65,959,464$ | 38.42 |
| Mixed (Multiple Types) | 92 | 2.95 | $9,341,055$ | 5.44 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 , 1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 , 6 9 9 , 2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

Most of the voters in the jurisdictions still using older equipment are in the state of New York, where all 62 counties continue to use Lever machines. In Connecticut, where elections are conducted by townships, only 25 of the state's 169 towns made the switch from Lever machines to Optical Scan equipment for the 2006 elections. Punch Cards, on the other hand, have been eliminated, except in thirteen (13) Idaho counties.

## Voting Equipment Changes

The study found that about $34 \%$ of counties have acquired new voting equipment over the past two years, and that about $32 \%$ of registered voters in the country will be using new voting equipment this November. The smaller proportion of registered voters to counties is an indication that the purchases for this election have primarily been among smaller-sized jurisdictions. Brace noted that these jurisdictions are less likely to have the financial resources to handle the conversion process. "History shows us that the greatest likelihood of election errors occurs the first time a jurisdiction changes voting systems," Brace said. The following table shows voting equipment changes by counties and their effect on registered voters since November 2000. Those changes are also shown on the map below.

Voting Equipment Changes Since November 2000

| Time Period/Election Cycle | Counties |  | Registered Voters |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Nov-2000 to Nov-2002 | 388 | $12.3 \%$ | $21,388,975$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| Nov-2002 to Nov-2004 | 324 | $10.3 \%$ | $32,811,731$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| Nov-2004 to Nov-2006 | 1,078 | $34.2 \%$ | $54,569,357$ | $31.9 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 1,790 | $56.7 \%$ | $108,770,063$ | $63.4 \%$ |
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## Voting Equipment Changes

The number of jurisdictions using DREs and Optical Scanning devices has, of course, increased with the HAVA goal for the replacement of Lever and Punch Card equipment by 2006. A HAVA requirement, that each voting precinct have at least one accessible voting system, seems also to have reduced the number of jurisdictions using hand-counted Paper ballots.
From November 2000 to November 2006, the number of counties using Optical Scan equipment increased from 1,279 to 1,752, while the number of counties using Electronic equipment increased from 309 to 1,142 . Counties using hand-counted Paper ballots only declined from 370 in 2000 to only 57 in 2006. But the Mixed category includes counties in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Wisconsin where parts of counties (i.e., townships) still use hand-counted Paper ballots. Other townships in those counties use Optically Scanned paper ballots.

## Voting Equipment Changes by Equipment Type - Counties

| Type of Voting Equipment | Number of Counties |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Nov-2000 | Nov-2002 | Nov-2004 | Nov-2006 |
| Punch Cards | 572 | 459 | 330 | 13 |
| Lever Machines | 434 | 288 | 264 | 62 |
| Hand-Counted Paper Ballots | 370 | 304 | 298 | 57 |
| Optically Scanned Paper Ballots | 1,279 | 1,360 | 1,443 | 1,752 |
| Electronic (DRE) Equipment | 309 | 547 | 631 | 1,142 |
| Mixed (Multiple Types) | 149 | 156 | 148 | 92 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 , 1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 1 8}$ |
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More important, perhaps, is to look how the changes have affected registered voters. From November 2000 to November 2006, the number of registered voters who resided in counties using Optical Scan equipment increased from 46.7 million ( $29.5 \%$ of all voters) to 84.0 million $(48.9 \%)$. The number of voters in counties using Electronic equipment increased from 19.7 million ( $12.4 \%$ ) to 65.9 million ( $38.4 \%$ ), while the number of voters in counties using hand-counted Paper ballots declined from 2.0 million ( $1.3 \%$ ) to 0.3 million ( $0.2 \%$ ).

Voting Equipment Changes by Equipment Type - Registered Voters

| Type of Voting Equipment | Percent of Registered Voters |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Nov-2000 | Nov-2002 | Nov-2004 | Nov-2006 |
| Punch Cards | 30.7 | 23.1 | 12.4 | 0.2 |
| Lever Machines | 17.2 | 14.9 | 13.2 | 6.8 |
| Hand-Counted Paper Ballots | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Optically Scanned Paper Ballots | 29.5 | 31.0 | 35.6 | 48.9 |
| Electronic (DRE) Equipment | 12.4 | 22.0 | 29.2 | 38.4 |
| Mixed (Multiple Types) | 8.9 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 5.4 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Election Data Services' first voting equipment study in 1980 found that $40.7 \%$ of the nation's counties used hand counted Paper ballots. However, because they were primarily smaller jurisdictions, only $10.5 \%$ of the registered voters in the country voted on Paper. That same year, $36.7 \%$ of the counties used Lever machines, which amounted to $42.9 \%$ of the registered voters. Punch Cards could be found in $17.4 \%$ of the nation's counties, but because they were mainly the large jurisdictions, they accounted for $29.3 \%$ of the registered voters. A chart attached to this release provides a full history of voting equipment usage in the United States. Tables with data for previous election years are also attached.

## Voter Registration for 2006

As part of the voting equipment study, Election Data Services also collected updated voter registration totals from all 50 states. Depending on availability, the data was either for the state's primary election or is more current. The study showed that nearly 171.6 million are registered for the upcoming election, but this is down nearly 4.3 million (or $2.5 \%$ ) from the 2004 general election. Only 10 states showed an increase in registration, while 40 states and the District of Columbia reported declines. The largest percent decrease was the District of Columbia (-19.6\%), while the biggest numeric change occurred in California, which lost more than 978,000 registered voters.

History has shown that off-year elections do experience a decline in registration totals from the previous presidential election. However, 2006 is the first election after the merger of countylevel registration databases into the statewide systems, as required by HAVA. It is too early to tell whether the decline is part of the normal electoral pattern or whether the decline reflects the clean up of the voter rolls to eliminate duplicate registrations.

## Absentee Voting Caution

Both political parties have been pushing absentee balloting this election and previous studies have found greater shares of the total vote coming from absentee and early voting. Brace, however, noted that without checking mechanisms, greater errors are possible with absentee voting. Previous studies have shown error rates up to five times greater when ballots were not checked for errors that include over-voting or stray marks.

## About the Study

Election Data Services conducts voting equipment studies before the November general elections every two years. The first study was conducted in 1980 for the Federal Election Commission (FEC); the studies have been conducted independently since 1986. The studies classify voting equipment according to the following categories: (1) Punch Cards, (2) mechanical Lever machines, (3) hand-counted Paper ballots, (4) Optical Scan equipment, (5) Electronic equipment, and (6) Mixed-multiple types of equipment. The primary data resource is a nationwide Election Administration Database that covers some 8,000 county and township-level election jurisdictions. The Voting Equipment section of that database is periodically updated from surveys, news articles, interviews, and inventories compiled by state election directors. With each study, Election Data Services also produces a nationwide map that depicts voting equipment usage at the county level. The map is color-coded by equipment type and includes summary data for counties and registered voters. Maps from previous voting equipment studies dating back to 1980 are compiled on a Voting Equipment History Poster that depicts trends in voting equipment usage.

Election Data Services is a Washington, D.C.-based consulting firm that specializes in redistricting, election administration, and the analysis of census and political data. The company provides strategic assistance for voting equipment acquisitions and feasibility studies, needs assessments, and systems requirements analyses for election organizations developing new voter registration systems. Recent studies developed computer network options for statewide voter registration systems and models for integrating local voter registration systems into a statewide network. The firm also conducted the first major study on election administration for the United States Election Assistance Commission (EAC). President Brace has served as an expert witness in over 65 redistricting and election administration court cases in the past 30 years, including testimony on voting equipment in Florida's Bush vs. Gore court case in 2000.

## Election Data Sentices

## Voting Equipment Summary By Type as of: 11/ 07/ 2006



## Paper ballot

| Paper Ballot | 57 | $1.83 \%$ | 759 | $0.41 \%$ | 365,727 | $0.17 \%$ | 330,912 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Paper ballot | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 5 , 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 \%}$ |
| Pa30,912 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Optical scan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuvote ES-2000 | 14 | 0.45\% | 466 | 0.25\% | 572,621 | 0.26\% | 433,829 | 0.25\% |
| AccuVote-OS | 380 | 12.19\% | 21,909 | 11.95\% | 24,184,122 | 10.95\% | 19,664,839 | 11.45\% |
| Airmac card reader | 2 | 0.06\% | 10 | 0.01\% | 14,158 | 0.01\% | 13,085 | 0.01\% |
| AIS Model 115 (OS) | 14 | 0.45\% | 163 | 0.09\% | 129,654 | 0.06\% | 115,422 | 0.07\% |
| AIS optical scan | 30 | 0.96\% | 1,019 | 0.56\% | 654,899 | 0.30\% | 483,625 | 0.28\% |
| Ballot Now | 39 | 1.25\% | 3,511 | 1.92\% | 7,507,138 | 3.40\% | 5,866,916 | 3.42\% |
| eScan | 64 | 2.05\% | 3,284 | 1.79\% | 2,846,935 | 1.29\% | 2,217,877 | 1.29\% |
| InkaVote | 1 | 0.03\% | 450 | 0.25\% | 493,275 | 0.22\% | 480,337 | 0.28\% |
| InkaVote Plus | 1 | 0.03\% | 5,065 | 2.76\% | 7,312,794 | 3.31\% | 3,839,483 | 2.24\% |
| Mark A Vote | 4 | 0.13\% | 815 | 0.44\% | 702,892 | 0.32\% | 448,543 | 0.26\% |
| Model 100 (OS) | 314 | 10.07\% | 13,701 | 7.47\% | 18,138,608 | 8.21\% | 14,524,149 | 8.46\% |
| Model 115 (OS) | 40 | 1.28\% | 824 | 0.45\% | 799,335 | 0.36\% | 615,847 | 0.36\% |
| Model 150 (OS) | 74 | 2.37\% | 1,629 | 0.89\% | 930,202 | 0.42\% | 823,788 | 0.48\% |
| Model 315 (OS) | 16 | 0.51\% | 685 | 0.37\% | 931,454 | 0.42\% | 770,664 | 0.45\% |
| Model 550 (OS) | 15 | 0.48\% | 616 | 0.34\% | 832,427 | 0.38\% | 648,322 | 0.38\% |
| Model 650 (OS) | 53 | 1.70\% | 1,858 | 1.01\% | 1,940,122 | 0.88\% | 1,570,531 | 0.91\% |
| Optech | 9 | 0.29\% | 61 | 0.03\% | 34,607 | 0.02\% | 30,687 | 0.02\% |
| Optech 400C | 3 | 0.10\% | 379 | 0.21\% | 364,537 | 0.17\% | 256,228 | 0.15\% |
| Optech Eagle | 3 | 0.10\% | 183 | 0.10\% | 323,633 | 0.15\% | 294,506 | 0.17\% |
| Optech II | 5 | 0.16\% | 135 | 0.07\% | 223,061 | 0.10\% | 193,316 | 0.11\% |
| Optech III-C | 1 | 0.03\% | 11 | 0.01\% | 28,690 | 0.01\% | 21,761 | 0.01\% |
| Optech III-P | 7 | 0.22\% | 853 | 0.47\% | 1,560,643 | 0.71\% | 1,239,748 | 0.72\% |

## Voting Equipment Summary By Type as of: 11/ 07/ 2006

TYPE OF
VOTING
EQUIPMENT
USED

| Optech III-P Eagle | 193 | 6.19\% | 6,728 | 3.67\% | 9,116,652 | 4.13\% | 7,057,765 | 4.11\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optech Insight | 13 | 0.42\% | 6,150 | 3.36\% | 5,225,707 | 2.37\% | 3,550,477 | 2.07\% |
| Optech IV-C | 16 | 0.51\% | 2,591 | 1.41\% | 4,010,051 | 1.82\% | 2,543,424 | 1.48\% |
| Unknown (OS) | 441 | 14.14\% | 18,633 | 10.17\% | 19,768,135 | 8.95\% | 16,321,278 | 9.51\% |
| Total Optical scan | 1,752 | 56.19\% | 91,729 | 50.04\% | 108,646,352 | 49.19\% | 84,026,447 | 48.94\% |
| Electronic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AccuPoll (AVS 1000) | 1 | 0.03\% | 26 | 0.01\% | 25,211 | 0.01\% | 19,820 | 0.01\% |
| AccuVote-TS | 360 | 11.55\% | 16,454 | 8.98\% | 21,422,637 | 9.70\% | 16,337,754 | 9.52\% |
| AccuVote-TSX | 25 | 0.80\% | 1,485 | 0.81\% | 1,862,983 | 0.84\% | 1,339,835 | 0.78\% |
| AVC Advantage | 116 | 3.72\% | 13,421 | 7.32\% | 14,368,165 | 6.50\% | 11,380,699 | 6.63\% |
| AVC Edge | 46 | 1.48\% | 4,496 | 2.45\% | 5,951,349 | 2.69\% | 3,640,656 | 2.12\% |
| ELECTronic 1242 | 6 | 0.19\% | 2,662 | 1.45\% | 2,374,933 | 1.08\% | 2,078,016 | 1.21\% |
| eSlate | 58 | 1.86\% | 4,361 | 2.38\% | 5,299,562 | 2.40\% | 3,945,010 | 2.30\% |
| Infinity | 41 | 1.32\% | 798 | 0.44\% | 1,320,620 | 0.60\% | 1,033,869 | 0.60\% |
| iVotronic | 152 | 4.87\% | 10,174 | 5.55\% | 12,100,678 | 5.48\% | 9,843,710 | 5.73\% |
| MicroVote DRE voting system | 50 | 1.60\% | 1,284 | 0.70\% | 1,317,231 | 0.60\% | 1,110,843 | 0.65\% |
| MV-464 | 24 | 0.77\% | 1,759 | 0.96\% | 1,502,927 | 0.68\% | 1,293,815 | 0.75\% |
| Patriot | 25 | 0.80\% | 319 | 0.17\% | 525,998 | 0.24\% | 401,149 | 0.23\% |
| Shouptronics 1242 | 103 | 3.30\% | 2,608 | 1.42\% | 2,600,441 | 1.18\% | 2,254,851 | 1.31\% |
| Unknown (DRE) | 53 | 1.70\% | 3,692 | 2.01\% | 4,958,032 | 2.24\% | 3,851,855 | 2.24\% |
| Vot Ware | 4 | 0.13\% | 128 | 0.07\% | 100,627 | 0.05\% | 88,108 | 0.05\% |
| Votronic | 46 | 1.48\% | 4,547 | 2.48\% | 7,220,519 | 3.27\% | 5,512,620 | 3.21\% |
| WINvote | 32 | 1.03\% | 1,168 | 0.64\% | 2,294,030 | 1.04\% | 1,826,854 | 1.06\% |
| Total Electronic | 1,142 | 36.63\% | 69,382 | 37.85\% | 85,245,943 | 38.59\% | 65,959,464 | 38.42\% |
| Mixed systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed (multiple systems) | 92 | 2.95\% | 5,653 | 3.08\% | 11,229,218 | 5.08\% | 9,341,055 | 5.44\% |
| Total Mixed systems | 92 | 2.95\% | 5,653 | 3.08\% | 11,229,218 | 5.08\% | 9,341,055 | 5.44\% |
| Total: | 3,118 | 100.00\% | 183,298 | 100.00\% | 220,888,887 | 100.00\% 1 | 71,699,290 | 100.00\% |

## November 2006 Voting Equipment Usage by County





| Voter Registration |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 \& 2004 Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Name | 2006 Primary or Latest Registration | 2004 Reported Total Registration | Difference in Regis 2006-2004 | Percent Change $2006-2004$ |
| 01 | Alabama | 2,667,465 | 2,597,629 | 69,836 | 2.62\% |
| 02 | Alaska | 459,364 | 472,160 | -12,796 | -2.79\% |
| 04 | Arizona | 2,589,573 | 2,642,120 | -52,547 | -2.03\% |
| 05 | Arkansas | 1,677,398 | 1,699,934 | -22,536 | -1.34\% |
| 06 | California | 15,668,439 | 16,646,555 | -978,116 | -6.24\% |
| 08 | Colorado | 2,934,750 | 3,101,956 | -167,206 | -5.70\% |
| 09 | Connecticut | 2,044,181 | 1,831,567 | 212,614 | 10.40\% |
| 10 | Delaware | 554,194 | 553,917 | 277 | 0.05\% |
| 11 | District of Columbia | 321,087 | 383,919 | -62,832 | -19.57\% |
| 12 | Florida | 10,429,566 | 10,300,942 | 128,624 | 1.23\% |
| 13 | Georgia | 4,158,508 | 4,248,802 | -90,294 | -2.17\% |
| 15 | Hawaii | 655,741 | 647,238 | 8,503 | 1.30\% |
| 16 | I daho | 713,535 | 799,581 | -86,046 | -12.06\% |
| 17 | Illinois | 7,263,969 | 7,499,488 | -235,519 | -3.24\% |
| 18 | Indiana | 3,939,103 | 4,296,602 | -357,499 | -9.08\% |
| 19 | I owa | 2,066,122 | 2,226,721 | -160,599 | -7.77\% |
| 20 | Kansas | 1,646,987 | 1,695,457 | -48,470 | -2.94\% |
| 21 | Kentucky | 2,709,959 | 2,794,286 | -84,327 | -3.11\% |
| 22 | Louisiana | 2,923,395 | 2,932,142 | -8,747 | -0.30\% |
| 23 | Maine | 957,485 | 1,026,219 | -68,734 | -7.18\% |
| 24 | Maryland | 3,105,236 | 3,105,370 | -134 | 0.00\% |
| 25 | Massachusetts | 3,934,672 | 4,098,634 | -163,962 | -4.17\% |
| 26 | Michigan | 7,113,246 | 7,164,047 | -50,801 | -0.71\% |
| 27 | Minnesota | 2,975,125 | 2,977,496 | -2,371 | -0.08\% |
| 28 | Mississippi | 1,774,595 | 1,791,666 | -17,071 | -0.96\% |
| 29 | Missouri | 4,194,146 | 4,194,416 | -270 | -0.01\% |
| 30 | Montana | 626,853 | 638,474 | -11,621 | -1.85\% |
| 31 | Nebraska | 1,140,741 | 1,160,193 | -19,452 | -1.71\% |
| 32 | Nevada | 1,199,519 | 1,073,869 | 125,650 | 10.48\% |
| 33 | New Hampshire | 823,009 | 950,292 | -127,283 | -15.47\% |
| 34 | New J ersey | 4,837,943 | 5,011,693 | -173,750 | -3.59\% |
| 35 | New Mexico | 1,074,207 | 1,105,372 | -31,165 | -2.90\% |
| 36 | New York | 11,627,385 | 11,837,068 | -209,683 | -1.80\% |
| 37 | North Carolina | 5,538,246 | 5,526,981 | 11,265 | 0.20\% |
| 38 | North Dakota* | 495,411 | 490,179 | 5,232 | 1.06\% |
| 39 | Ohio | 7,683,621 | 7,965,110 | -281,489 | -3.66\% |
| 40 | Oklahoma | 2,008,973 | 2,143,978 | -135,005 | -6.72\% |
| 41 | Oregon | 1,965,939 | 2,141,249 | -175,310 | -8.92\% |
| 42 | Pennsylvania | 8,073,337 | 8,366,455 | -293,118 | -3.63\% |
| 44 | Rhode Island | 750,521 | 707,234 | 43,287 | 5.77\% |
| 45 | South Carolina | 2,408,258 | 2,318,235 | 90,023 | 3.74\% |
| 46 | South Dakota | 488,934 | 502,261 | -13,327 | -2.73\% |
| 47 | Tennessee | 3,693,618 | 3,748,235 | -54,617 | -1.48\% |
| 48 | Texas | 12,722,671 | 13,098,329 | -375,658 | -2.95\% |
| 49 | Utah | 1,240,954 | 1,278,912 | -37,958 | -3.06\% |
| 50 | Vermont | 424,568 | 444,508 | -19,940 | -4.70\% |
| 51 | Virginia | 4,458,855 | 4,515,675 | -56,820 | -1.27\% |
| 53 | Washington | 3,250,770 | 3,508,208 | -257,438 | -7.92\% |
| 54 | West Virginia | 1,130,008 | 1,168,694 | -38,686 | -3.42\% |
| 55 | Wisconsin | 4,155,027 | 4,179,774 | -24,747 | -0.60\% |
| 56 | Wyoming | 257,715 | 273,950 | -16,235 | -6.30\% |
| 60 | Sub Total | 171,554,924 | 175,883,792 | -4,328,868 | -2.52\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * North Dakota does not register voters, data is reported voting age population. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Voting Equipment Summary By Type as of 11/ 05/ 2002

Printed: 02/10/2004

|  | VOTING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUMBER |  | NUMBER |  | AGE |  | REGISTERED |  |
|  | OF |  | OF |  | POPULATION |  | VOTERS |  |
|  | COUNTIES | \% | PRECINCTS | \% | (2000 Census) | \% |  | \% |
| TYPE OF VOTING EQUIPMENT USED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Punchcard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.E.S. | 29 | 0.93\% | 597 | 0.32\% | 721,368 | 0.35\% | 630,428 | 0.41\% |
| Votomatic | 17 | 0.55\% | 9,474 | 5.10\% | 11,786,216 | 5.65\% | 7,324,575 | 4.78\% |
| Punch Card | 384 | 12.33\% | 34,719 | 18.70\% | 30,204,638 | 14.47\% | 23,364,122 | 15.24\% |
| Election Supplies Limited | 1 | 0.03\% | 5 | 0.00\% | 4,400 | 0.00\% | 4,134 | 0.00\% |
| P.B.C. IIID | 4 | 0.13\% | 277 | 0.15\% | 834,344 | 0.40\% | 701,702 | 0.46\% |
| Total Punchcard | 435 | 13.97\% | 45,072 | 24.28\% | 43,550,966 | 20.87\% | 32,024,961 | 20.89\% |
| DataVote |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Datavote | 24 | 0.77\% | 5,450 | 2.94\% | 4,792,759 | 2.30\% | 3,105,110 | 2.03\% |
| Total DataVote | 24 | 0.77\% | 5,450 | 2.94\% | 4,792,759 | 2.30\% | 3,105,110 | 2.03\% |
| Lever |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.V.M. | 165 | 5.30\% | 16,946 | 9.13\% | 17,686,182 | 8.47\% | 14,553,802 | 9.49\% |
| IES Shoup | 53 | 1.70\% | 7,808 | 4.21\% | 8,657,783 | 4.15\% | 6,181,822 | 4.03\% |
| RF Shoup | 10 | 0.32\% | 126 | 0.07\% | 191,652 | 0.09\% | 130,963 | 0.09\% |
| Voting Machines | 9 | 0.29\% | 613 | 0.33\% | 559,961 | 0.27\% | 498,905 | 0.33\% |
| AVM - Printomatics | 51 | 1.64\% | 2,181 | 1.18\% | 1,484,571 | 0.71\% | 1,299,311 | 0.85\% |
| Total Lever | 288 | 9.25\% | 27,674 | 14.91\% | 28,580,149 | 13.69\% | 22,664,803 | 14.79\% |
| Paper Ballot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper Ballots | 304 | 9.76\% | 3,562 | 1.92\% | 1,648,739 | 0.79\% | 1,120,543 | 0.73\% |
| Total Paper Ballot | 304 | 9.76\% | 3,562 | 1.92\% | 1,648,739 | 0.79\% | 1,120,543 | 0.73\% |
| Optical Scan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accuvote | 141 | 4.53\% | 9,231 | 4.97\% | 10,318,487 | 4.94\% | 8,008,445 | 5.22\% |
| Optical Scan | 786 | 25.24\% | 28,333 | 15.26\% | 30,316,127 | 14.53\% | 24,469,559 | 15.96\% |
| Air Mac Tech | 2 | 0.06\% | 10 | 0.01\% | 12,916 | 0.01\% | 12,209 | 0.01\% |
| Mark-A-Vote | 8 | 0.26\% | 2,786 | 1.50\% | 1,968,727 | 0.94\% | 1,326,242 | 0.87\% |
| American Information System | 113 | 3.63\% | 2,647 | 1.43\% | 2,005,817 | 0.96\% | 1,419,179 | 0.93\% |
| C.E.S. - Optech | 1 | 0.03\% | 186 | 0.10\% | 497,807 | 0.24\% | 352,455 | 0.23\% |
| Optech II | 7 | 0.22\% | 248 | 0.13\% | 392,504 | 0.19\% | 325,955 | 0.21\% |
| OPTECH III--PE Mark-Sense | 146 | 4.69\% | 3,935 | 2.12\% | 4,911,637 | 2.35\% | 3,966,428 | 2.59\% |
| Optech 3P Eagle | 86 | 2.76\% | 5,443 | 2.93\% | 9,141,936 | 4.38\% | 6,344,450 | 4.14\% |
| Mark Sense | 30 | 0.96\% | 2,767 | 1.49\% | 2,878,789 | 1.38\% | 308,190 | 0.20\% |
| Global ES-2000 | 7 | 0.22\% | 1,603 | 0.86\% | 1,303,367 | 0.62\% | 867,820 | 0.57\% |
| Scanner M100 | 15 | 0.48\% | 421 | 0.23\% | 705,857 | 0.34\% | 535,031 | 0.35\% |
| Optech III | 1 | 0.03\% | 11 | 0.01\% | 25,664 | 0.01\% | 20,261 | 0.01\% |
| Diebold Election System | 1 | 0.03\% | 58 | 0.03\% | 60,584 | 0.03\% | 37,335 | 0.02\% |
| Optech IV | 2 | 0.06\% | 56 | 0.03\% | 102,542 | 0.05\% | 95,407 | 0.06\% |
| Scanner M150 | 10 | 0.32\% | 262 | 0.14\% | 163,386 | 0.08\% | 126,404 | 0.08\% |
| Global / GEMS | 2 | 0.06\% | 266 | 0.14\% | 312,028 | 0.15\% | 330,773 | 0.22\% |
| Global Opt. Scan | 1 | 0.03\% | 26 | 0.01\% | 31,871 | 0.02\% | 27,123 | 0.02\% |
| ES\&S M100's 3.0.0.1 | 1 | 0.03\% | 165 | 0.09\% | 87,188 | 0.04\% | 83,688 | 0.05\% |
| Total Optical Scan | 1,360 | 43.67\% | 58,454 | 31.49\% | 65,237,234 | 31.26\% | 48,656,954 | 31.74\% |
| Electronic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shouptronics | 6 | 0.19\% | 758 | 0.41\% | 846,625 | 0.41\% | 629,593 | 0.41\% |
| Electronic Voting | 31 | 1.00\% | 2,725 | 1.47\% | 4,282,120 | 2.05\% | 3,593,538 | 2.34\% |
| Sequoia Pacific A.V.C. Advantage | 48 | 1.54\% | 7,997 | 4.31\% | 9,436,499 | 4.52\% | 7,297,879 | 4.76\% |
| Microvote | 65 | 2.09\% | 2,253 | 1.21\% | 3,499,226 | 1.68\% | 2,787,707 | 1.82\% |
| Votronic | 30 | 0.96\% | 3,353 | 1.81\% | 5,888,067 | 2.82\% | 4,424,645 | 2.89\% |
| Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) | 55 | 1.77\% | 7,928 | 4.27\% | 8,480,007 | 4.06\% | 6,480,548 | 4.23\% |
| Accuvote TS | 170 | 5.46\% | 3,881 | 2.09\% | 7,968,703 | 3.82\% | 4,953,693 | 3.23\% |
| eSlate | 1 | 0.03\% | 8 | 0.00\% | 38,214 | 0.02\% | 22,065 | 0.01\% |
| Patriot | 2 | 0.06\% | 65 | 0.04\% | 114,862 | 0.06\% | 96,630 | 0.06\% |
| Shouptronic 1242 DRE | 139 | 4.46\% | 4,303 | 2.32\% | 5,366,317 | 2.57\% | 4,262,300 | 2.78\% |
| Total Electronic | 547 | 17.57\% | 33,271 | 17.92\% | 45,920,640 | 22.00\% | 34,548,598 | 22.54\% |
| Mixed Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed (multiple systems) | 156 | 5.01\% | 12,137 | 6.54\% | 18,961,248 | 9.09\% | 11,164,497 | 7.28\% |
| Total Mixed Systems | 156 | 5.01\% | 12,137 | 6.54\% | 18,961,248 | 9.09\% | 11,164,497 | 7.28\% |
| Total: | 3,114 | 100.00\% | 185,620 | 100.00\% | 208,691,735 | 100.00\% | 153,285,466 | 100.00\% |

## Voting Equipment Summary By Type as of 11/ 07/ 2000

Printed: 02/10/2004

|  | VOTING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUMBER |  | NUMBER |  | AGE |  | REGISTERED |  |
|  | OF |  | OF |  | POPULATION |  | VOTERS |  |
|  | COUNTIES | \% | PRECINCTS | \% | (2000 Census) | \% |  | \% |
| TYPE OF VOTING EQUIPMENT USED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Punchcard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.E.S. | 41 | 1.32\% | 1,223 | 0.66\% | 1,236,250 | 0.59\% | 993,408 | 0.63\% |
| Votomatic | 36 | 1.16\% | 14,578 | 7.91\% | 19,531,486 | 9.36\% | 11,925,117 | 7.53\% |
| Punch Card | 432 | 13.88\% | 37,239 | 20.20\% | 36,969,193 | 17.71\% | 29,566,133 | 18.68\% |
| Vote Recorder | 18 | 0.58\% | 1,044 | 0.57\% | 2,687,332 | 1.29\% | 1,745,031 | 1.10\% |
| Total Punchcard | 527 | 16.93\% | 54,084 | 29.33\% | 60,424,261 | 28.95\% | 44,229,689 | 27.94\% |
| DataVote |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Datavote | 45 | 1.45\% | 5,940 | 3.22\% | 6,547,638 | 3.14\% | 4,468,261 | 2.82\% |
| Total DataVote | 45 | 1.45\% | 5,940 | 3.22\% | 6,547,638 | 3.14\% | 4,468,261 | 2.82\% |
| Lever |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.V.M. | 192 | 6.17\% | 20,519 | 11.13\% | 21,171,161 | 10.14\% | 17,277,791 | 10.92\% |
| IES Shoup | 171 | 5.49\% | 9,412 | 5.10\% | 10,898,242 | 5.22\% | 7,755,779 | 4.90\% |
| RF Shoup | 15 | 0.48\% | 181 | 0.10\% | 252,115 | 0.12\% | 169,356 | 0.11\% |
| Voting Machines | 6 | 0.19\% | 495 | 0.27\% | 471,841 | 0.23\% | 413,447 | 0.26\% |
| AVM - Printomatics | 50 | 1.61\% | 2,104 | 1.14\% | 1,447,346 | 0.69\% | 1,268,319 | 0.80\% |
| Total Lever | 434 | 13.94\% | 32,711 | 17.74\% | 34,240,705 | 16.40\% | 26,884,692 | 16.99\% |
| Paper Ballot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper Ballots | 370 | 11.89\% | 5,075 | 2.75\% | 2,560,835 | 1.23\% | 2,031,538 | 1.28\% |
| Total Paper Ballot | 370 | 11.89\% | 5,075 | 2.75\% | 2,560,835 | 1.23\% | 2,031,538 | 1.28\% |
| Optical scan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accuvote | 85 | 2.73\% | 3,332 | 1.81\% | 4,718,514 | 2.26\% | 3,594,852 | 2.27\% |
| Optical Scan | 835 | 26.82\% | 31,364 | 17.01\% | 32,029,894 | 15.34\% | 26,084,653 | 16.48\% |
| Air Mac Tech | 1 | 0.03\% | 3 | 0.00\% | 5,200 | 0.00\% | 5,177 | 0.00\% |
| Mark-A-Vote | 8 | 0.26\% | 2,670 | 1.45\% | 1,968,727 | 0.94\% | 1,350,833 | 0.85\% |
| American Information System | 90 | 2.89\% | 2,273 | 1.23\% | 1,958,035 | 0.94\% | 1,396,523 | 0.88\% |
| C.E.S. - Optech | 7 | 0.22\% | 400 | 0.22\% | 847,106 | 0.41\% | 667,594 | 0.42\% |
| Optech II | 7 | 0.22\% | 195 | 0.11\% | 372,081 | 0.18\% | 309,260 | 0.20\% |
| OPTECH III--PE Mark-Sense | 142 | 4.56\% | 3,775 | 2.05\% | 4,748,792 | 2.27\% | 3,929,700 | 2.48\% |
| Optech 3P Eagle | 45 | 1.45\% | 3,979 | 2.16\% | 6,601,615 | 3.16\% | 4,401,657 | 2.78\% |
| Mark Sense | 52 | 1.67\% | 3,561 | 1.93\% | 5,999,700 | 2.87\% | 4,059,462 | 2.56\% |
| Global ES-2000 | 7 | 0.22\% | 1,537 | 0.83\% | 1,303,367 | 0.62\% | 884,868 | 0.56\% |
| Total Optical Scan | 1,279 | 41.09\% | 53,089 | 28.79\% | 60,553,031 | 29.01\% | 46,684,579 | 29.49\% |
| Electronic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shouptronics | 39 | 1.25\% | 2,330 | 1.26\% | 4,243,433 | 2.03\% | 3,232,214 | 2.04\% |
| Electronic Voting | 13 | 0.42\% | 1,803 | 0.98\% | 2,136,509 | 1.02\% | 1,782,914 | 1.13\% |
| Sequoia Pacific A.V.C. Advantage | 33 | 1.06\% | 6,231 | 3.38\% | 6,625,022 | 3.17\% | 5,235,664 | 3.31\% |
| Microvote | 34 | 1.09\% | 1,272 | 0.69\% | 1,964,407 | 0.94\% | 1,671,069 | 1.06\% |
| Votronic | 13 | 0.42\% | 406 | 0.22\% | 740,422 | 0.35\% | 620,283 | 0.39\% |
| E.V.M. (electronic voting machine) | 1 | 0.03\% | 22 | 0.01\% | 13,083 | 0.01\% | 11,837 | 0.01\% |
| Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) | 81 | 2.60\% | 6,040 | 3.28\% | 7,406,165 | 3.55\% | 5,473,386 | 3.46\% |
| Shouptronic 1242 DRE | 95 | 3.05\% | 2,386 | 1.29\% | 2,299,321 | 1.10\% | 1,870,490 | 1.18\% |
| Total Electronic | 309 | 9.93\% | 20,490 | 11.11\% | 25,428,362 | 12.18\% | 19,897,857 | 12.57\% |
| Mixed systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed (multiple systems) | 149 | 4.79\% | 13,005 | 7.05\% | 19,000,596 | 9.10\% | 14,084,992 | 8.90\% |
| Total Mixed Systems | 149 | 4.79\% | 13,005 | 7.05\% | 19,000,596 | 9.10\% | 14,084,992 | 8.90\% |
| Total: | 3,113 | 100.00\% | 184,394 | 100.00\% | 208,755,428 | 100.00\% | 158,281,608 | 100.00\% |


| ELECTION DATA SERVICES Inc. | VOTING EQUIPMENT REPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1401 K Street NW Suite \#500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington DC 20005-3417 | YEAR: 1980 |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 10/10/2002 |
| (202) 789-2004 | NATIONWIDE |  |  |  |  |  | Time: | 6:41:13 AM |
| =====================================================================================================================================1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TYPE OF VOTING | NUMBER OF | PERCENT OF | NUMBER OF | PERCENT OF | 1980 | PERCENT OF | 1980 | PERCENT OF |
| EQUIPMENT USED | COUNTIES | COUNTIES | PRECINCTS | PRECINCTS | POPULATION | POPULATION | REGISTRATION | REGISTRATION |
| ------- | --------------- | --------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | --------------- | -------------- | ---------------- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.E.S. | 450 | 14.35\% | 31,392 | 18.41\% | 43,965,377 | 35.09\% | 22,457,555 | 20.09\% |
| Data General Nova System | 3 | 0.10\% | 143 | 0.08\% | 131,599 | 0.11\% | 85,916 | 0.08\% |
| Election Data Corp | 2 | 0.06\% | 30 | 0.02\% | 17,479 | 0.01\% | 11,584 | 0.01\% |
| Election Supplies Limited | 1 | 0.03\% | 44 | 0.03\% | 55,865 | 0.04\% | 26,391 | 0.02\% |
| Elpac | 26 | 0.83\% | 1,158 | 0.68\% | 1,053,048 | 0.84\% | 614,849 | 0.55\% |
| Fidlar \& Chambers | 11 | 0.35\% | 1,171 | 0.69\% | 1,229,000 | 0.98\% | 652,075 | 0.58\% |
| I.B.M. | 5 | 0.16\% | 389 | 0.23\% | 472,182 | 0.38\% | 238,156 | 0.21\% |
| P.B.C. Counters (B.R.C.) | 10 | 0.32\% | 377 | 0.22\% | 432,860 | 0.35\% | 250,715 | 0.22\% |
| Punch Card | 1 | 0.03\% | 17 | 0.01\% | 24,987 | 0.02\% | 14,852 | 0.01\% |
| Thornber | 1 | 0.03\% | 90 | 0.05\% | 144,107 | 0.12\% | 77,793 | 0.07\% |
| Votomatic | 34 | 1.08\% | 16,396 | 9.61\% | 17,286,743 | 13.80\% | 8,268,737 | 7.40\% |
| ---------------------- | -- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | --- | ------------- | -------------- |
| Punch Card | 544 | 17.35\% | 51,207 | 30.03\% | 64,813,247 | 51.73\% | 32,698,623 | 29.25\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Datavote | 37 | 1.18\% | 3,932 | 2.31\% | 5,797,318 | 4.63\% | 2,816,013 | 2.52\% |
| ---------------------- | -- | -- | -------------- | -------------- | -- | -------------- | ----------- |  |
| Datavote | 37 | 1.18\% | 3,932 | 2.31\% | 5,797,318 | 4.63\% | 2,816,013 | 2.52\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.V.M. | 645 | 20.57\% | 42,169 | 24.73\% | 62,348,972 | 49.77\% | 29,703,353 | 26.57\% |
| IES Shoup | 439 | 14.00\% | 21,093 | 12.37\% | 35,870,214 | 28.63\% | 16,985,726 | 15.19\% |
| RF Shoup | 61 | 1.95\% | 1,496 | 0.88\% | 2,415,228 | 1.93\% | 1,146,254 | 1.03\% |
| Seiscor | 5 | 0.16\% | 271 | 0.16\% | 258,699 | 0.21\% | 151,314 | 0.14\% |
| ------------------ | -- | --- | -------------- | -------------- | -- | ------------ | ------------ | -------------- |
| Lever Machine | 1,150 | 36.68\% | 65,029 | 38.13\% | 893,113 | 0.71\% | 47,986,647 | 42.92\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper Ballots | 1,275 | 40.67\% | 26,761 | 15.69\% | 22,999,384 | 18.36\% | 11,729,027 | 10.49\% |
| ---------------------------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | --------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | --------------- |
| Paper Ballots | 1,275 | 40.67\% | 26,761 | 15.69\% | 22,999,384 | 18.36\% | 11,729,027 | 10.49\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accuvote | 3 | 0.10\% | 120 | 0.07\% | 123,039 | 0.10\% | 64,101 | 0.06\% |
| Data Mark | 3 | 0.10\% | 497 | 0.29\% | 570,941 | 0.46\% | 279,452 | 0.25\% |
| Mark-A-Vote | 1 | 0.03\% | 115 | 0.07\% | 166,759 | 0.13\% | 95,175 | 0.09\% |
| Martel (AIS) | 15 | 0.48\% | 2,487 | 1.46\% | 3,175,242 | 2.53\% | 1,520,566 | 1.36\% |
| Optical Scan | 1 | 0.03\% | 20 | 0.01\% | 30,781 | 0.02\% | 15,997 | 0.01\% |
| Valtec | 3 | 0.10\% | 460 | 0.27\% | 848,956 | 0.68\% | 413,137 | 0.37\% |
| ---------------------- | --------------- | --- | --------------- | -------------- | ---------- | -------------- | -------------- | - --------------- |
| Optical Scan | 26 | 0.83\% | 3,699 | 2.17\% | 4,915,718 | 3.92\% | 2,388,428 | 2.14\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Video Voter | 3 | 0.10\% | 175 | 0.10\% | 87,858 | 0.07\% | 54,424 | 0.05\% |
| Votronic | 4 | 0.13\% | 1,365 | 0.80\% | 1,454,254 | 1.16\% | 746,685 | 0.67\% |
| --------------------- | -------------- | ------------ | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | ------------- | --------------- |
| Electronic | 7 | 0.22\% | 1,540 | 0.90\% | 1,542,112 | 1.23\% | 801,109 | 0.72\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed (more than one system) | 96 | 3.06\% | 18,362 | 10.77\% | 24,323,545 | 19.41\% | 13,385,221 | 11.97\% |
| ---------------------------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | -------------- | ------- |
| Mixed | 96 | 3.06\% | 18,362 | 10.77\% | 24,323,545 | 19.41\% | 13,385,221 | 11.97\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ==================================1 | ======== | ======== | ======== | ======== | ======== | ======== | ======== | ======== |
| TOTAL | 3,135 | 100.00\% | 170,530 | 100.00\% | 125,284,437 | 100.00\% | 111,805,068 | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ==================================10 | $============3$ | $============$ | ============ | $===========1$ | $===========$ : | ============: | ============== | ============= |

